

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/669,479	09/24/2003	Steven G. Goebel	GP-303584	3973
7590 07/06/2005			EXAMINER	
CARY W. BROOKS			HODGE, ROBERT W	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annicotion No	Anglianda
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	10/669,479	GOEBEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Hodge	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-42</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-42</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	,	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	·	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 40 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 28. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Language

2. It is noted that for the examination of the present application the terms pitch and wiggle will be defined as follows: pitch is any angle that a channel in the flow field makes or takes whether it be horizontal or vertical and wiggle is any sinusoidal or similar type pattern used for the channels in the flow field. These terms are construed in this manner because applicants' definition albeit present is quite broad, and therefore can read on almost anything. So for clarity purposes these terms are now defined more specifically, albeit still somewhat broadly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Art Unit: 1746

9)

regards as the invention. Where pitch is defined as an angle, applicants are attempting to measure the angle with a unit of measure consistently used for measuring length not angles, therefore it is unclear how the pitch can be 2.5 mm or less since the accepted standard of measuring angles is degrees.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 13-22, 26-28, 30, 31, 33, 35-37 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gurau et al. WO 02/037592 hereinafter Gurau et al.
- 7. Gurau et al. teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell comprising membrane electrode assemblies which have two field flow plates having a plurality of channels wherein the channels are designed to be varied in shape and pattern, where the channels can be different sizes, and cross sectional areas and would therefore have varying land-to-land contact across the membrane, and it is the examiners position that because of the similar structure disclosed in the Gurau et al. reference that said contact across the membrane would be about 30% (abstract, figures 1-4, pages 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479

Art Unit: 1746

8. Claims 13, 23-25, 29, 30-32 and 34 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0004158 hereinafter Suzuki et al.

Page 4

- 9. Suzuki et al. teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell for an automobile comprising membrane electrode assemblies which have two field flow plates having a plurality of channels wherein the channels are designed to be varied in shape and pattern, where the channels can be different sizes, and cross sectional areas that have the same specific dimensions as those claimed in the present application, as well the same angles of the pitch (paragraphs [0002], [0023]-[0026], [0056]-[0059], [0063], [0066]-[0070], [0078]-[0079] and [0084]).
- 10. Claims 13, 23-25, 29, 31, 32, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilkinson et al. U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2001/0041281 hereinafter Wilkinson et al.
- 11. Wilkinson et al. teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell for an automobile comprising membrane electrode assemblies which have two field flow plates having a plurality of channels wherein the channels are designed to be varied in shape and pattern, where the channels can be different sizes, and cross sectional areas that have the same specific dimensions as those claimed in the present application as well as having a sinusoidal shape that can be in phase or out of phase (abstract, paragraphs [0003], [0007], [0015], [0016], [0028]-[0030], [0035], [0036], [0041], and claims 6, 7 and 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Election/Restrictions

12. Applicant's election with traverse of claim group II and the cancellation of claims 1-12 in the reply filed on 5/26/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not independent. This is not found persuasive because applicants admit that the inventions are distinct and the examiner has already shown that the inventions are independent in the previous restriction requirement "The subcombination has separate utility such as being used in electrolyzers for fluid flow management". Therefore by the legal authority under 35 USC 121 (Not the MPEP) the restriction requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,479 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RWH 6-28-05

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER